

## **EXHIBIT 2**

**MAZ. AFF.**

Barry N. Gutterman, Esq. (BG6410)  
Robert Briere Esq. (RB6080)  
Barry N. Gutterman & Associates, P.C.  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Union Pacific Railroad Company  
60 East 42nd Street, 46th Floor  
New York, New York 10165  
(212) 983-1466

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

MITSUI SUMITOMO INSURANCE CO., LTD.

Plaintiffs

v.

EVERGREEN MARINE CORPORATION and UNION  
PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

Defendants.

ECF Case

07 CV 3874

(Judge McMahon)

**UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY'S  
ANSWER TO THE AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Defendant Union Pacific Railroad Company ("UP"), by its attorneys, Barry N. Gutterman & Associates, P.C., for its Answer to plaintiff's Amended Complaint hereby states and alleges as follows:

1. UP admits the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint, except as to the allegation that the Carmack Amendment governs, UP denies this allegation.
2. UP denies the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of the Amended Complaint.

3. UP denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same and leaves plaintiff to its proof.
4. UP denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same and leaves plaintiff to its proof.
5. UP denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same and leaves plaintiff to its proof.
6. UP admits the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the Amended Complaint.
7. UP admits the allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the Amended Complaint.
8. UP denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same and leaves plaintiff to its proof.
9. UP admits the allegations contained in paragraph 9 of the Amended Complaint.
10. UP denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same and leaves plaintiff to its proof.
11. UP denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same and leaves plaintiff to its proof.

12. UP denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same and leaves plaintiff to its proof.
13. UP denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same and leaves plaintiff to its proof.
14. UP admits the allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the Amended Complaint.
15. UP admits the allegations contained in paragraph 15 of the Amended Complaint.
16. UP denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 16 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same and leaves plaintiff to its proof.
17. UP denies the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of the Amended Complaint.
18. UP admits the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of the Amended Complaint.
19. UP denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same and leaves plaintiff to its proof.
20. UP denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 20 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same and leaves plaintiff to its proof.
21. UP repeats and realleges its responses to paragraphs 1-20 of the Amended Complaint as its response to paragraph 21.

22. UP admits the allegations contained in paragraph 22 of the Amended Complaint, but with the regard to the allegation that UP was a receiving, delivering and/or other carrier within the meaning of the Carmack Amendment, UP denies this allegation.
23. UP does not respond to paragraph 23 of the Amended Complaint as such allegations are not addressed to it.
24. UP denies the allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the Amended Complaint.
25. UP denies the allegations contained in paragraph 25 of the Amended Complaint.
26. UP denies the allegations contained in paragraph 26 of the Amended Complaint.
27. UP repeats and realleges its responses to paragraphs 1-26 of the Amended Complaint as its response to paragraph 27.
28. UP denies the allegations contained in paragraph 28 of the Amended Complaint.
29. UP repeats and realleges its responses to paragraphs 1-28 of the Amended Complaint as its response to paragraph 29.
30. UP denies the allegations contained in paragraph 30 of the Amended Complaint.
31. UP denies the allegations contained in paragraph 31 of the Amended Complaint.
32. UP repeats and realleges its responses to paragraphs 1-31 of the Amended Complaint as its response to paragraph 32.
33. UP denies the allegations contained in paragraph 33 of the Amended Complaint.
34. UP repeats and realleges its responses to paragraphs 1-33 of the Amended Complaint as its response to paragraph 34.
35. UP denies knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 35 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same and leaves plaintiff to its proof.

36. UP denies the allegations contained in paragraph 36 of the Amended Complaint.

37. UP denies the allegations contained in paragraph 37 of the Amended Complaint.

**AS AND FOR A FIRST  
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

38. To the extent that the plaintiff failed to meet the minimum filing requirements of filing a proper written claim within the time prescribed, this lawsuit is time barred.

**AS AND FOR A SECOND  
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

39. To the extent that plaintiff failed to file suit within the period proscribed, this lawsuit is time barred.

**AS AND FOR A THIRD  
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

39. The Amended Complaint fails to state a claim against UP on which relief may be granted.

**AS AND FOR A FOURTH  
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

40. In the event that the plaintiff had not or has no title or interest in the shipment that is the subject of this action, then the plaintiff is not the real party in interest and is not entitled to maintain this suit.

**AS AND FOR A FIFTH  
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

41. In the event that said shipment moved subject to any statutory or contractual limitations of liability, either specifically agreed to or contained in any applicable tariffs and/or governing publications, the plaintiff may not recover in excess of such limitations.

**AS AND FOR A SIXTH  
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

42. Plaintiff's common law claims are preempted by federal law.

**AS AND FOR A SEVENTH  
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

43. The bill of lading, tariffs and classifications and the governing publications do not contemplate responsibility for special damages. To the extent, that plaintiff seeks recovery for special damages, UP is not responsible.

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Pacific Railroad Company demands judgment; (1) dismissing the Amended Complaint with prejudice, together with the costs, disbursements and reasonable attorneys fees; and (2) for such other or different relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 15, 2007

By: /s/ Barry N. Gutterman  
Barry N. Gutterman, Esq. (BG6410)  
Robert Briere, Esq. (RB6080)  
Barry N. Gutterman & Associates, P.C.  
60 East 42nd Street, 46th Floor  
New York, New York 10165  
Phone: (212) 983-1466  
Fax: (212) 983-1229

Attorneys for Defendant  
Union Pacific Railroad Company

To: David L. Mazaroli (DM-2929)  
11 Park Place – Suite 1214  
New York, New York 10007-2801  
Phone: (212) 267-8480  
Fax: (212) 732-7352  
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Jessica DeVivo, Esq. (JAD-6588)  
Chichanowicz, Callan, Keane, Vengrow & Textor  
61 Broadway, Suite 3000  
New York, New York 10016  
Phone: (212) 344-7042

Attorneys for Defendant Evergreen Marine Corporation

UP2718.amended.aad